

# Local geometry of bihamiltonian structures and invariant volume forms

Anton Izosimov\*

## Abstract

It is shown that a generic bihamiltonian structure on an odd-dimensional manifold is flat if and only if it is locally unimodular.

## 1 Introduction

Two Poisson brackets are called *compatible* if any linear combination of them is a Poisson bracket again. A pair of compatible Poisson brackets is called a *Poisson pair*, or a *bihamiltonian structure*.

The notion of a bihamiltonian structure was introduced by F. Magri [1] and, independently, by I. Gelfand and I. Dorfman [2]. Bihamiltonian structures are strongly related to integrability. A vector field is called *bihamiltonian* if it is Hamiltonian with respect to both compatible Poisson structures. As it was shown by Magri, a bihamiltonian vector field automatically admits a large number of integrals. And vice versa, most of the known integrable equations coming from physics are bihamiltonian (see [3–5] and relevant references therein). By this reason, bihamiltonian structures have become one of the most active research directions in mathematical physics of the last three decades.

While bihamiltonian structures naturally appear in mathematical physics, they can be viewed and studied as a purely geometrical structure. In this context, a natural question is whether or not two compatible Poisson brackets can be locally brought to a constant form simultaneously, as it is always possible for individual Poisson brackets. Besides being very natural from the geometric viewpoint, this problem is important for integrable systems, since coordinates where both brackets are constant serve as canonically conjugate variables for bihamiltonian systems.

Differential geometry of bihamiltonian structures has been actively studied in the last twenty years. Main results in this field belong to I. Gelfand and I. Zakharevich [6–9] and F.-J. Turiel [11–14].

---

\*e-mail: izosimov@mech.math.msu.su

A bihamiltonian structure  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is called *flat* if  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  can be simultaneously locally brought to a constant form.

In bihamiltonian geometry, one needs to distinguish between the even and the odd-dimensional case. The reason for this comes from linear algebra. A generic skew-symmetric form on an even-dimensional vector space is non-degenerate. For two non-degenerate Poisson brackets  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , one can define the *recursion* operator  $R = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}^{-1}$ . Clearly, if the structure  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is flat, then the eigenvalues of  $R$  must be constant. The converse is also true, as it was proved by Turiel [12].

In odd dimension, the situation is different. Any two generic pairs of forms on an odd-dimensional vector space are equivalent. So, generic odd-dimensional bihamiltonian structures have no algebraic invariants, and the obstruction to flatness is of geometrical nature. A beautiful theorem by Gelfand and Zakharevich [6, 7] established an isomorphism between the theory of odd-dimensional bihamiltonian structures and the theory of *Veronese webs*, closely related to classical Blaschke webs. By the Gelfand-Zakharevich theorem, a bihamiltonian structure is flat if and only if the associated web is trivializable.

Note that to pass from a bihamiltonian structure  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  to the associated Veronese web, one needs to describe Casimir invariants of  $\mathcal{P} + \lambda\mathcal{Q}$ . There is an existence theorem for Casimir invariants, but there is no general recipe how they can be found. In general, this involves solving partial differential equations. Moreover, checking that a Veronese web is trivializable is also a non-trivial procedure. By these reasons, verifying that a given bihamiltonian structure is flat becomes very complicated.

In the present paper, the following simple flatness criterion is proved: a generic odd-dimensional bihamiltonian structure  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is flat if and only if it is locally *unimodular*, i.e. there exists a local volume form which is preserved by all  $\mathcal{P}$ -Hamiltonian vector fields and all  $\mathcal{Q}$ -Hamiltonian vector fields. This condition reduces to solving a system of linear algebraic equations. The bihamiltonian structure of the periodic odd-dimensional Volterra lattice is considered as an example.

## 2 Poisson brackets and invariant volume forms

A *Poisson bracket* on a finite-dimensional manifold  $M$  is a binary operation  $\{, \}$  on  $C^\infty(M)$  which

- turns  $C^\infty(M)$  into a Lie algebra, i.e. it is bilinear, skew-symmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity;
- satisfies the Leibniz rule:  $\{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}h + g\{f, h\}$ .

Each Poisson bracket is given by

$$\{f, g\} = \mathcal{P}^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a bivector called a *Poisson tensor*. Formula (1) defines a Poisson bracket if and only if the bivector  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfies

$$[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}] = 0,$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  denotes the Schouten bracket.

In the further text Poisson brackets and corresponding Poisson tensors are not distinguished. Poisson brackets and tensors will be denoted by the calligraphic letters  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$ .

Recall that by the Darboux theorem any Poisson bracket can always be brought to a constant form in a neighbourhood of a generic point.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a Poisson bracket on  $M$ . A vector field  $v$  on  $M$  is said to be *Hamiltonian* with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$  if it can be written as

$$v^i = \mathcal{P}^{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x^j}$$

for an appropriate  $H \in C^\infty(M)$ .

A volume form  $\omega$  is *invariant* with respect to a Poisson bracket  $\mathcal{P}$  if it is preserved by all vector fields which are Hamiltonian with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ . A Poisson bracket which admits an invariant volume form is called *unimodular*.

**Example 1.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a non-degenerate Poisson bracket on  $M^{2n}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{P}^{-1}$  is a symplectic structure. Then the volume form

$$\omega = \Lambda^n(\mathcal{P}^{-1}) \tag{2}$$

is invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ . Any other  $\mathcal{P}$ -invariant volume form is different from (2) by a constant factor.

It follows from the Darboux theorem that any Poisson bracket is locally unimodular in a neighbourhood of a generic point. Globally, this is not necessarily the case [16].

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a Poisson bracket on a manifold  $M^n$ . The volume form*

$$f dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

*is invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if*

$$\mathcal{P}^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} + f \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}^{ij}}{\partial x^j} = 0.$$

### 3 Pairs of forms on a vector space

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space. Consider the space  $\Lambda^2(V^*)$  of bilinear skew-symmetric forms on  $V$ . Let

$$\Lambda_m = \{A \in \Lambda^2(V^*) \mid \text{corank } A = m\}.$$

**Proposition 2.** For  $m \equiv \dim V \pmod{2}$ , the set  $\Lambda_m \subset \Lambda^2(V^*)$  is a smooth submanifold of codimension

$$\text{codim } \Lambda_m = \frac{m(m-1)}{2}.$$

**Remark 1.** For  $m \not\equiv \dim V \pmod{2}$ , the set  $\Lambda_m$  is empty, because the rank of any skew-symmetric form is even.

**Remark 2.** Note that the codimension of  $\Lambda_m$  coincides with the dimension of  $\Lambda^2(\text{Ker } A)$  where  $A \in \Lambda_m$ . One can show that the conormal bundle of  $\Lambda_m$  is isomorphic to the second exterior power of the bundle of kernels over  $\Lambda_m$ .

To prove Proposition 2, note that  $\Lambda_m$  is a homogeneous space of  $\text{GL}(V)$ , and count the dimension of a stabilizer.

Say that a skew-symmetric form is singular if its rank is lower than maximum possible. Proposition 2 implies that the set of singular forms has codimension one if  $\dim V$  is even, and codimension three if  $\dim V$  is odd. This circumstance is the reason for the difference between the even and the odd-dimensional bihamiltonian geometry.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $V$  be an odd-dimensional vector space, and let

$$\Omega(V) = \Lambda^2(V^*) \times \Lambda^2(V^*)$$

be the space of pairs of forms on  $V$ . Let also  $\Omega_0(V) \subset \Omega(V)$  be the set of pairs  $A, B$  such that

$$\dim \text{Ker}(\mu A + \nu B) = 1 \tag{3}$$

for all  $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\Omega_0(V)$  is an open dense subset of  $\Omega(V)$ .

The proof immediately follows from Proposition 2.

The following statement follows from the Jordan-Kronecker theorem which provides a canonical form for two skew-symmetric forms on a vector space [17, 18].

**Proposition 4.** Let  $V^{2n+1}$  be an odd-dimensional vector space. Suppose that  $A, B$  are bilinear forms on  $V$  which satisfy (3). Then there exists a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_{2n+1}$  in  $V$  such that

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n e^i \wedge e^{n+i}, B = \sum_{i=1}^n e^i \wedge e^{n+i+1}.$$

So, a generic pair of skew-symmetric forms on an odd-dimensional vector space has no invariants. In even dimension, such invariants are given by the eigenvalues of  $A^{-1}B$ .

## 4 Bihamiltonian structures and the main theorem

**Definition 1.** Two Poisson brackets  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are *compatible* if  $\mu\mathcal{P} + \nu\mathcal{Q}$  is also a Poisson bracket for any  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$ . A pair of compatible brackets is a *bihamiltonian structure*.

The non-trivial condition is that  $\mu\mathcal{P} + \nu\mathcal{Q}$  satisfies the Jacobi identity. For two Poisson brackets  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  to be compatible, it is enough to require that  $\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q}$  is also a Poisson bracket. The compatibility condition for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  can be written as

$$[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}] = 0,$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  denotes the Schouten bracket.

Now let  $M^{2n+1}$  be an odd-dimensional manifold.

**Definition 2.** A bihamiltonian structure  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  on  $M^{2n+1}$  is *generic* at  $x_0 \in M^{2n+1}$  if

$$\dim \text{Ker} (\mu\mathcal{P}(x_0) + \nu\mathcal{Q}(x_0)) = 1 \quad (4)$$

for all  $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ .

By Proposition 3, if a bihamiltonian structure is generic at  $x_0$ , then it is also generic in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of  $x_0$ .

**Remark 3.** Here we follow the terminology of [6, 7]. Alternatively, bihamiltonian structures satisfying (4) are called *Kronecker* structures of corank one.

Bihamiltonian structures satisfying (4) are generic in the sense that their restriction to  $T_{x_0}^* M^{2n+1}$  is a generic pair of forms, see Proposition 3. It is also true that bihamiltonian structures satisfying (4) form an open subset in the space of all bihamiltonian structures. However, it is not clear whether or not this subset is dense.

**Definition 3.** A bihamiltonian structure  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is *flat* in the neighbourhood of  $x_0$  if there exists a local coordinate system where both tensors  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  have constant coefficients.

**Remark 4.** By Proposition 4, any flat generic bihamiltonian structure on  $M^{2n+1}$  can be locally brought to the form

$$\mathcal{P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+i}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+i+1}},$$

so there is only one generic bihamiltonian structure in each odd dimension, up to a local diffeomorphism.

**Definition 4.** A bihamiltonian structure  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is *unimodular* if there exists a volume form  $\omega$  which is invariant with respect to both  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**Theorem 1** (Flatness criterion). *Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  be a bihamiltonian structure on  $M^{2n+1}$ . Suppose that  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is generic at  $x_0 \in M^{2n+1}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is flat in the neighbourhood of  $x_0$  if and only if it is locally unimodular.*

The proof is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a Poisson tensor on an odd-dimensional manifold  $M^{2n+1}$ . Let also  $\omega$  be a volume form which is invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ . Consider the isomorphism*

$$\widehat{\omega}: \Lambda^{2n}(\mathrm{TM}) \rightarrow \mathrm{T}^*M$$

*given by contraction with  $\omega$ , and let*

$$\alpha = \widehat{\omega}(\Lambda^n \mathcal{P}).$$

*Then*

1.  $\alpha$  belongs to the kernel of  $\mathcal{P}$ .
2.  $\alpha$  is closed.

*Proof of lemma.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that the rank of  $\mathcal{P}$  is locally constant. At other points the lemma is true by continuity.

If  $\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{P} < 2n$ , then  $\alpha = 0$ , so it is closed and belongs to the kernel of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Assume that  $\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{P} = 2n$ . Find a coordinate system where

$$\mathcal{P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+i}}.$$

By Proposition 1, the invariant volume form  $\omega$  reads

$$\omega = f(x^{2n+1}) dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{2n+1},$$

therefore

$$\alpha = f(x^{2n+1}) dx^{2n+1},$$

so  $\mathcal{P}\alpha = 0$ , and  $d\alpha = 0$ , q.e.d. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The “only if” part is obvious, since if  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are constant, the volume form  $dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{2n+1}$  is invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Prove the “if” part. Suppose that there exists a volume form  $\omega$  invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Consider the isomorphism

$$\widehat{\omega}: \Lambda^{2n}(\mathrm{TM}) \rightarrow \mathrm{T}^*M$$

given by contraction with  $\omega$ , and let

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \widehat{\omega}(\Lambda^n(\mathcal{P} + \lambda\mathcal{Q})).$$

This form is a degree  $n$  polynomial in  $\lambda$ . By Lemma 1,

$$(\mathcal{P} + \lambda\mathcal{Q})\alpha(\lambda) = 0,$$

and  $\alpha(\lambda)$  is closed for any  $\lambda$ . Also note that  $\alpha(\lambda) \neq 0$  for any  $\lambda$ , since  $\alpha(\lambda)$  is zero only if  $\dim \text{Ker}(\mathcal{P} + \lambda\mathcal{Q}) > 1$ .

So, there exists a family of functions  $F(\lambda)$  such that  $dF(\lambda) = \alpha(\lambda)$ . This family has the following properties:

1.  $F(\lambda)$  is a Casimir function of  $\mathcal{P} + \lambda\mathcal{Q}$  for any  $\lambda$ , i.e.  $(\mathcal{P} + \lambda\mathcal{Q})dF(\lambda) = 0$ .
2.  $dF(\lambda) \neq 0$  for any  $\lambda$ .
3.  $F(\lambda)$  is a degree  $n$  polynomial in  $\lambda$ .

Families satisfying these properties are known as polynomial  $\lambda$ -Casimir families. It is known that a generic bihamiltonian structure which admits a polynomial  $\lambda$ -Casimir family is flat, see [8] for the analytic case and [13] for the  $C^\infty$  case. So, the structure  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is flat, q.e.d.  $\square$

The following statement shows how the condition of Theorem 1 can be checked.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  be a bihamiltonian structure on  $M^{2n+1}$ . Suppose that  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is generic at  $x_0 \in M^{2n+1}$ . Consider the following overdetermined system of equations.*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{P}^{ij}\alpha_j + \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}^{ij}}{\partial x^j} = 0, \\ \mathcal{Q}^{ij}\alpha_j + \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}^{ij}}{\partial x^j} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Then

1. *If (5) is solvable with respect to  $\alpha$ , then the solution is unique.*
2. *The bihamiltonian structure  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is locally unimodular if and only if (5) is solvable with respect to  $\alpha$ , and  $d\alpha = 0$ .*
3. *If (5) is solvable with respect to  $\alpha$ , and  $d\alpha = 0$ , then the volume form*

$$\omega = \exp \left( \int_{x_0}^x \alpha \right) dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

*is invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ .*

The proof follows from Proposition 1.

## 5 The Volterra lattice

In this section, lower indices are used for coordinates, and no summation over repeating indices is assumed.

Consider the bihamiltonian structure of the periodic Volterra lattice [19, 20]. This structure is given on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{ij} &= (\delta_{i+1,j} - \delta_{i,j+1})x_i x_j, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{ij} &= (\delta_{i+1,j} - \delta_{i,j+1})x_i x_j (x_i + x_j) + \delta_{i+2,j} x_i x_{i+1} x_{i+2} - \delta_{i-2,j} x_i x_{i-1} x_{i-2},\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where all indices are modulo  $n$ , so that  $n+1 = 1$  etc.

If  $n$  is odd, the structure (6) is generic almost everywhere. A simple computation shows that (5) is solvable, and the solution is

$$\alpha = -\frac{3}{2} \sum_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i}.$$

This form is closed, so the structure (6) is flat. The form

$$\omega = (x_1 \dots x_n)^{-3/2} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

is invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Note that it is possible to find flat coordinates for (6) explicitly, using the algebro-geometric approach [21, 22].

## References

- [1] F. Magri. A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation. *J. Math. Phys.*, 19(5):1156–1162, 1978.
- [2] I.M. Gel’fand and I.Ya. Dorfman. Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 13:248–262, 1979.
- [3] M. Błaszak. *Multi-Hamiltonian Theory of Dynamical Systems*. Springer, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [4] A.V. Bolsinov and A.V. Borisov. Compatible poisson brackets on Lie algebras. *Mathematical Notes*, 72:10–30, 2002.
- [5] I. Dorfman. *Dirac Structures and Integrability of Nonlinear Evolution Equations*. Wiley, Chichester, 1993.
- [6] I. Gelfand and I. Zakharevich. Webs, Veronese curves, and bihamiltonian systems. *J. Funkt. Anal.*, 99:150178, 1991.

- [7] I. M. Gelfand and I. Zakharevich. On the local geometry of a bi-Hamiltonian structure. In L. Corwin, editor, *The Gelfand Seminars*, pages 51–112. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990–1992.
- [8] I.M. Gelfand and I. Zakharevich. Webs, Lenard schemes, and the local geometry of bi-Hamiltonian Toda and Lax structures. *Selecta Mathematica*, 6:131–183, 2000.
- [9] I. Zakharevich. Kronecker webs, bihamiltonian structures, and the method of argument translation. *Transformation Groups*, 6:267–300, 2001.
- [10] A. Panasyuk. Veronese webs for bihamiltonian structures of higher corank. *Banach Center Publications*, 51:251261, 2000.
- [11] F.-J. Turiel. Classification locale d’un couple de formes symplectiques Poisson-compatibles. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.*, 308:575–578, 1989.
- [12] F.-J. Turiel. Classification locale simultanée de deux formes symplectiques compatibles. *Manuscripta Math*, 82:349–362, 1994.
- [13] F.J. Turiel.  $C^\infty$ -équivalence entre tissus de Veronese et structures bihamiltoniennes. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.*, 328:891–894, 1999.
- [14] F.-J. Turiel. Tissus de veronese analytiques de codimension supérieure et structures bihamiltoniennes. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.*, 331:61–64, 2000.
- [15] A. Izosimov. Curvature of poisson pencils in dimension three. *arXiv:1212.3298*, 2012.
- [16] A. Weinstein. The modular automorphism group of a poisson manifold. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 23:379–394, 1997.
- [17] R. Thompson. Pencils of complex and real symmetric and skew matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 147(0):323 – 371, 1991.
- [18] I. Kozlov. Elementary proof of Jordan-Kronecker theorem. *arXiv:1109.5371v1*, 2011.
- [19] P.A. Damianou. The Volterra model and its relation to the Toda lattice. *Physics Letters A*, 155:126–132, 1991.
- [20] G. Falqui and M. Pedroni. Gel’fand-Zakharevich systems and algebraic integrability: the Volterra lattice revisited. *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, 10:399412, 2005.
- [21] A.V. Penskoï. Canonically conjugate variables for the Volterra system with periodic boundary conditions. *Math. Notes*, 64:98–109, 1998.
- [22] A.P. Veselov and A.V. Penskoï. On algebro-geometric Poisson brackets for the Volterra lattice. *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.*, 3:3–9, 1998.